## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

ROGER DEAN GILLISPIE, :

:

Plaintiff, : Case No. 3:13-cv-416

v. : Judge Thomas M. Rose

CITY OF MIAMI TOWNSHIP, et al.,

:

Defendants.

## **SCHEDULING ORDER**

In accordance with the Court's discussion with counsel for Gillispie, Moore, Miami Township, and Wolfe on March 2, 2022, any opposition to the motion filed as Document Number 312 must be filed 21 days from the date on which Plaintiff files the two documents under seal in accordance with the Order entered on March 2, 2022 (Doc. No. 314). Any reply filed by Plaintiff in support of the motion (Doc. No. 312) must be filed within 35 days from the date on which Plaintiff files the documents under seal in accordance with the Order entered on March 2, 2022 (Doc. No. 314).

The briefing on the motion (Doc. No. 312) should address both (1) Plaintiff's request for leave to conduct additional limited discovery, and (2) Plaintiff's request for leave to file a motion for reconsideration of summary judgment with respect to Miami Township and Wolfe. Additionally, for purposes of the second issue (<u>i.e.</u>, leave to file a motion for reconsideration of summary judgment with respect to Miami Township and Wolfe), the Court expects that the briefing will, at least, address the following three issues: (a) whether a motion for reconsideration of the Court's September 21, 2020 order (Doc. No. 298) is an appropriate vehicle for Plaintiff to

make his arguments concerning the Court granting summary judgment for Miami Township and

Wolfe; (b) whether Plaintiff is barred—due to estoppel, waiver, or otherwise—from now arguing

that the Court should reconsider its September 21, 2020 order (Doc. No. 298) on the basis of newly-

disclosed transcript(s) of Grand Jury proceedings; and (c) whether Plaintiff is barred—due to

estoppel, waiver, or otherwise—from now arguing that the Court should reconsider its September

21, 2020 order (Doc. No. 298) on the basis of the November 22, 2021 state court decision declaring

Plaintiff a wrongfully-imprisoned individual.

After ruling on one or both of the issues presented in the motion filed as Document Number

312, the Court will enter an order that establishes a deadline for Plaintiff to file any motion to

disclose (or otherwise release) any additional portion of the transcript(s) of Grand Jury proceedings

beyond what the state court of appeals ruled on November 24, 2021 must be disclosed and

produced to Plaintiff.

**DONE** and **ORDERED** in Dayton, Ohio, this Thursday, March 3, 2022.

s/Thomas M. Rose

THOMAS M. ROSE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2